

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,288	07/24/2001	Shiao-Shien Chen	148693.11117	5995
7590 12/01/2003		EXAMINER		
THOMAS T. MOGA DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC			LEJA, RONALD W	
1901 L STREE		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N,, DC 20036		2836	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. /				
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/912,288	CHEN ET AL.	
:	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ronald W Leja	2836	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence a	ddress
THE - External control	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of vill apply and will expire SIX (6), cause the application to becon	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> ·		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E			e merits is
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4) 🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-10,13,14,17 and 18</u> is/are pending	in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10, 13, 14, 17 and 18</u> is/are rejected	d.		
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			٠
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement	,	
Applicat	tion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)□ objected	I to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= : :	· · · ·	
🗖	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			· •
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attac	ched Office Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
a) 13)□ / 3 3 14)□ /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language production of the foreign language production of the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign language production of the foreign language production of the first sentence of the foreign language production was included in the first sentence of the foreign language production of the first sentence of the foreign language production was included in the first sentence of the foreign language production of the first sentence of the foreign language production of the first sentence	s have been received. s have been received in the state of the certified copies or priority under 35 U.S at sentence of the specivisional application has priority under 35 U.S at priority under 35 U.S at sentence of the specivisional application has comprised the specific priority under 35 U.S	in Application No een received in this National not received. c.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional cification or in an Application as been received. c.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific
Attachmer				
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT	

Application/Control Number: 09/912,288

Art Unit: 2836

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1-10, 13, 14, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Narita et al. (5,973,901) in view of Hurst et al. (4,870,530).

Narita et al. disclose, in Figure 9, an electrostatic discharge protective device comprising a thyristor (11) with an anode connected to a pad (1) and a cathode connected to a common discharge line (10). A triggering device (D10) is connected between the cathode gate and the anode, but the details of the thyristor are not shown. However, Hurst et al. teach the use of thyristors protecting various pad to an

Application/Control Number: 09/912,288

Art Unit: 2836

IC package. Addressing Claims 1-4, there is a first bipolar transistor, (Q2) and a second transistor, (Q1) which form a thyristor. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to configure the bipolar transistors and resistors as shown in Hurst et al. in order to form an SCR which will allow for a protection device which can shunt transients to the common discharge line, thereby protecting an internal IC circuit and increasing reliability. As far as specific trigger voltages, such limitations would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention as a means to set the protection level deemed necessary for the particular application-at-hand. Use of an open-ended common discharge line (Claim 17) would have been obvious as a means to offer a circuit application wherein a floated condition was required and yet transient protection to the circuit was provided; such limitations would have been well within the abilities of one having ordinary skill in the art.

3. Applicant's arguments filed 8/14/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive, and as such, the above rejection has been maintained from the Office Action of 5/9/03. Applicants' arguments begin on Page 6 of the Response and appear to be a piecemeal analysis discussing the Hurst et al. (4,870,530) Reference. It is first noted that the rejection of the claims involved the disclosure of Narita et al. (5,973,901) in view of the teachings of Hurst et al. (4,870,530). Secondly, Hurst et al. was relied upon solely for the teachings of the details of an SCR/thyristor comprising bipolar transistors and

Application/Control Number: 09/912,288 Page 4

Art Unit: 2836

resistors. The Examiner would have relied upon the Prior Art Figure 4 of Narita et al., but believes that the transistor configurations illustrated in the Prior Art Figure 4 to be in error, and as such, relied upon the teachings of Hurst et al. for a correct teaching, so as to avoid any confusion. Figure 9 of Narita et al. clearly illustrates the thyristor and zener diode orientation between a bonding pad, cathode gate and common discharge line as required by Independent Claims 13 and 17, with the sole exception of the bipolar transistor details of the thyristor. The well known bipolar bipolar transistor details were relied upon by the Hurst et al. Reference and not specific details of how and why Hurst et al. were offering triggering protection to pins of an IC. Applicants offer the following statements:

"The claimed invention provides a semiconductor device having an unexpected result. The claimed invention provides an ESD protection network having a lower triggering voltage and a lower holding voltage than prior ESD protective devices. The claimed invention also provides an enhanced ESD protection performance apparatus equipped with a common discharge line for protecting VLSI circuits and particularly CMOS devices. The claimed invention could reduce the SCR triggering voltage from about 30-50 volts of the prior art to a level of about 5-10 volts and stabilizes the voltage of the PNP bipolar junction transistor or the NPN bipolar junction transistor. The claimed invention could provide the semiconductor device to reduce the SCR triggering voltage to protect VLSI circuits because the claimed invention is nonobvious." (emphasis added).

Applicants have not pointed to any specific portion of the Specification for support of the above statements, nor have they submitted any data supporting the statements. Statements involving "could" would respect to performance aspects of the claimed invention

Application/Control Number: 09/912,288

Art Unit: 2836

over that of the Prior Art cannot be fully considered without additional support because i.e. with respect to Claim 13, the configuration found in Figure 9 of Narita et al. reads on the claim with the exception of showing the well known bipolar transistor configuration of the thyristor. Hurst et al. were relied upon for the bipolar configuration. Therefore, a "could distinction" between the claimed invention and the Prior Art cannot be seen without some claimed structural difference.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald W Leja whose telephone number is (703) 308-2008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/912,288 Page 6

Art Unit: 2836

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (703) 308-3119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2836

rwl
Thursday, November 27, 2003